

# Evidence for Functionally Relevant Encounter Complexes in Nitrogenase Catalysis

Cedric P. Owens, Faith E. H. Katz, Cole H. Carter, Maria A. Luca, and F. Akif Tezcan\*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92039, United States

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** Nitrogenase is the only enzyme that can convert atmospheric dinitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) into biologically usable ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>). To achieve this multielectron redox process, the nitrogenase component proteins, MoFeprotein (MoFeP) and Fe-protein (FeP), repeatedly associate and dissociate in an ATP-dependent manner, where one electron is transferred from FeP to MoFeP per association. Here, we provide experimental evidence that encounter complexes between FeP and MoFeP play a functional role in nitrogenase catalysis. The encounter complexes are stabilized by electrostatic interactions involving a positively charged patch on the  $\beta$ -subunit of MoFeP. Three single mutations ( $\beta$ Asn399Glu,  $\beta$ Lys400Glu, and  $\beta$ Arg401Glu) in this patch were generated in *Azotobacter vinelandii* MoFeP. All of the resulting variants displayed decreases in specific catalytic activity, with the  $\beta$ K400E mutation showing the largest effect. As simulated by the Thorneley–Lowe kinetic scheme, this single



mutation lowered the rate constant for FeP-MoFeP association 5-fold. We also found that the  $\beta$ K400E mutation did not affect the coupling of ATP hydrolysis with electron transfer (ET) between FeP and MoFeP. These data suggest a mechanism where FeP initially forms encounter complexes on the MoFeP  $\beta$ -subunit surface en route to the ATP-activated, ET-competent complex over the  $\alpha\beta$ -interface.

# **INTRODUCTION**

There is mounting evidence that electron transfer (ET) reactions between protein partners are complex, multistep processes that proceed through the initial formation of a dynamic ensemble of encounter complexes. These complexes then lead to a specific, functionally active docking geometry (or geometries).<sup>1,2</sup> In general, encounter complexes are fleeting, low-affinity species guided by long-range electrostatic interactions.<sup>1,2</sup> In contrast, ET-active conformations are characterized by more tightly bound, stereospecific docking geometries stabilized by shortrange (H-bonding, salt-bridging, hydrophobic) contacts.<sup>3,4</sup> Encounter complexes are proposed to prolong the collisional lifetimes of protein interactions and reduce the search space for forming active complexes, thereby increasing functional efficiency.<sup>1,5</sup> Interprotein ET reactions carry a particularly stringent requirement for fast intermolecular association and turnover. Indeed, the functional importance of encounter complexes in interprotein ET reactions has been well documented in several redox pairs such as cytochrome c (cyt c)-cyt c peroxidase,<sup>6-8</sup> cyt  $b_5$ -myoglobin,<sup>9,10</sup> and cyt fplastocyanin.<sup>11-13</sup> In this work, we establish that the complex interprotein ET interactions in the metalloenzyme nitrogenase also involve functionally relevant encounter complexes, whose active role in nitrogenase catalysis has previously been only postulated.

Nitrogenase catalyzes the 6-electron reduction of dinitrogen  $(N_2)$  to ammonia  $(NH_3)$  along with the obligate evolution of dihydrogen  $(H_2)$ :

$$N_2 + 8e^- + 8H^+ + 16ATP$$
  
 $\rightarrow 2NH_3 + H_2 + 16ADP + 16P_i$  (1)

Nitrogenase consists of two redox partners (Figure 1). The MoFe-protein (MoFeP) is an  $\alpha_2\beta_2$  heterotetramer, which contains the P-cluster (a [8Fe:7S] cluster) and the catalytic FeMoco (a [7Fe:9S:1Mo:1C] cluster). The Fe-protein (FeP) is a  $\gamma_2$  homodimeric [4Fe:4S]-protein and the exclusive electron donor to MoFeP.<sup>14,15</sup> ET from the [4Fe:4S] of FeP to the FeMoco occurs through the intermediacy of the P-cluster. Nitrogenase differs from the aforementioned protein redox pairs in that its protein-protein interactions are regulated by the ATPase activity of FeP. ATP binding and hydrolysis not only provide a timing mechanism for the successive ET-interactions between FeP and MoFeP, but likely also coordinate the downstream, multielectron catalytic reactions. In order to elucidate the structural basis for the ATP-dependent interprotein ET processes, the FeP-MoFeP complex from Azotobacter vinelandii (Av) has been crystallographically characterized under solution conditions that mimic different stages of turnover (Figure 1).<sup>16</sup> These structures revealed that FeP could populate three distinct docking geometries (DG) on the MoFeP surface in a nucleotide dependent manner. In this paper, we refer to these geometries as DG1, DG2, and DG3.

Received: August 6, 2015 Published: September 11, 2015



**Figure 1.** Structures of the nucleotide free, ATP, and ADP bound nitrogenase, where FeP ( $\gamma$ -subunit) is grey, MoFeP  $\alpha$ -subunit is blue, and MoFeP  $\beta$ -subunit is red. The location of the  $\beta$ 399–401 surface patch is circled, and the inset on the left shows interprotein interactions in *DG1*. All known FeP conformations in each docking geometry are depicted. For *DG1* the only available structure is shown (PDB ID: 2AFH). For *DG2*, AMPPCP (a nonhydrolyzable ATP analogue) (PDB ID: 2AFK), ADP-AIF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (PDB ID: 1M34), and ATP/ADP-bound (PDB ID: 4WZA) structures are shown. For *DG3*, all four ADP-bound FeP conformers (PDB ID: 2AFI) are overlaid. Oxygen, nitrogen, iron, and sulfur are colored red, blue, orange, and yellow, respectively. Hydrogen bonds are marked by dashed lines. Nucleotides and metal clusters are shown as spheres. Only one  $\alpha\beta$  MoFeP dimer is displayed.

Despite extensive structural data, little is known about (a) the solution dynamics of FeP-MoFeP interactions, (b) how these dynamics regulate ATP-coupled inter- and intraprotein ET, and (c) the functional relevance of the crystallographically observed FeP-MoFeP complexes. Out of these three crystallographically observed FeP-MoFeP docking geometries, the mechanistic importance of only DG2, populated in the presence of ATPanalogs, is unambiguous.<sup>4,17</sup> All FeP-MoFeP complexes captured in DG2 feature a short ET distance (~15-16 Å), a densely packed protein medium between the [4Fe:4S] of FeP and the Pcluster, and compact FeP conformations that are conducive to ATP hydrolysis (Figure 1). Therefore, DG2 is considered to represent the activated protein complex in which ATP hydrolysis is coupled to ET. Recent experiments suggest that ATP-driven conformational gating events within DG2 may activate the initial ET step from the all-ferrous P-cluster to the FeMoco.<sup>18–21</sup> This step is then followed by a subsequent ET from the [4Fe:4S] of FeP to the oxidized P-cluster,<sup>22,23</sup> in a sequence of events coupled to ATP hydrolysis. The structural details of these conformationally gated ET events within DG2 remain to be elucidated.

In contrast to DG2, DG1 features a long distance (~20 Å) and a sizable solvent-filled gap in the intervening region between the [4Fe:4S] and the P-cluster, and FeP is found in an open conformation with well-separated nucleotide-binding pockets. Moreover, the DG1 structure was obtained in the absence of any nucleotides, which is a physiologically unlikely condition given the typical ATP and ADP concentrations under cellular turnover conditions<sup>24</sup> and the nucleotide-binding affinities of FeP.<sup>25</sup> Nevertheless, the binding surface between FeP and MoFeP in DG1 is extensive (~2800 Å<sup>2</sup>) and contains many short-range interactions typical of ET protein partners. In particular, a negatively charged region of FeP (yGlu68, yAsp69, yGlu111,  $\gamma$ Glu112) forms extensive H-bonding and salt-bridging interactions with a positively charged patch on the MoFeP  $\beta$ -subunit surface ( $\beta$ Asn399,  $\beta$ Lys400,  $\beta$ Arg401) (Figure 1, inset). Howard and colleagues demonstrated that FeP and MoFeP form a highly specific cross-linked complex in solution between  $\gamma$ Glu112 and

 $\beta$ Lys400 side chains mediated by 1-Ethyl-3-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]carbodiimide (EDC).<sup>26–28</sup> The cross-linking reaction proceeded with and without nucleotides, and importantly, it did not involve other acidic or basic residues on the FeP and MoFeP surfaces. It was hypothesized that the EDC-cross-linked FeP-MoFeP complex could represent an encounter complex on the way to the formation of an ET-active complex.<sup>29</sup> However, the functional relevance of the *DG1* conformation has neither been experimentally evaluated nor included in the catalytic schemes for nitrogenase such as the Thorneley–Lowe cycle (Schemes 1,



<sup>*a*</sup>Adapted from Rees et al.<sup>14</sup> <sup>ADP</sup>FeP and <sup>ATP</sup>FeP represent FeP bound to ADP and ATP. The "*n*" subscript represents resting state MoFeP, and the "*m*" subscript denotes MoFeP after receiving m electrons from FeP. Depending on the substrate, MoFeP is reduced by 2–6 electrons prior to substrate reduction. MoFeP refers to one  $\alpha\beta$ -dimeric half of the MoFeP  $\alpha_2\beta_2$  tetramer.

S1 and S2).<sup>30–32</sup> To investigate if the *DG1* conformation is functionally relevant, we generated MoFeP mutants aimed at destabilizing the interactions of the MoFeP  $\beta$ 399–401 surface patch with FeP. If *DG1* is relevant in turnover and populated en route to the formation of the activated *DG2* state, we expected a loss of catalytic activity in the MoFeP mutants. In contrast, if the *DG1* conformation represents an off-pathway complex, we expected the MoFeP mutants to have no effect, or even enhance nitrogenase activity. Our studies provide strong evidence that the electrostatic interactions stabilizing the *DG1* conformation are necessary for forming "on-pathway" encounter complexes. The involvement of encounter complexes may provide a means to increase the effective association rate between FeP and MoFeP and thereby electron flux to MoFeP.

## RESULTS

Generation and Growth of A. vinelandii β399-401 MoFeP Variants. In order to probe the functional relevance of FeP-MoFeP interactions in the DG1 complex, we individually mutated the neutral  $\beta$ Asn399 and the positively charged  $\beta$ Lys400 and  $\beta$ Arg401 to negatively charged Glu. Whereas these residues make extensive contacts both with side chain and main chain atoms of FeP in DG1 (Figure 1 inset), their shortest distance to the FeP surface is  $\geq 4.5$  Å in DG2 and  $\geq 11$  Å in DG3. Thus, functional effects of  $\beta$ N399E,  $\beta$ K400E and  $\beta$ R401E mutations can be attributed primarily to the perturbation of the DG1 complex, or related complexes that utilize the  $\beta$ 399–401 surface patch. A. vinelandii chromosomal mutants were generated using a previously described two-step procedure.<sup>21,33</sup> The mutations were confirmed by gene sequencing (Figure S1), and, in the case of  $\beta$ K400E, also by X-ray crystallography (vide infra). All A. vinelandii strains were capable of diazotrophic growth at wildtype (WT) levels (Figure S2), suggesting that all three MoFeP variants are functional in nitrogen fixation. The variants were overexpressed using previously reported derepression strategies<sup>34</sup> and isolated in good yields with high purity (2.1, 3.3, and 1.0 mg MoFeP per g of wet cell paste for  $\beta$ N399E,  $\beta$ K400E, and  $\beta$ R401E, respectively).

**Crystal Structure of**  $\beta$ **K400E-MoFeP.** Monoclinic (*P*2<sub>1</sub>), single crystals of  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP were grown under solution conditions similar to those previously reported for WT-MoFeP.<sup>35</sup> The 1.75 Å-resolution structure (PDB ID: 5CX1) shows that  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP is isomorphous with WT-MoFeP (r.m.s.d. = 0.3 Å over all  $\alpha$ -C's), and there are no structural perturbations surrounding residue  $\beta$ E400 (Figure 2A). The



**Figure 2.** (A) Crystal structure of  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP (light blue) compared to WT-MoFeP (magenta). (B) SDS-PAGE gels demonstrating that only WT-MoFeP cross-links with FeP. Full images of the gels can be found in Figure S5. The asterisk marks an impurity found in  $\beta$ R401E-MoFeP.

electron densities for all eight  $\beta$ E400 side chains in the asymmetric unit are clearly visible and confirm the desired mutation (Figure S3). Despite the similarity of the crystallization conditions, the unit cell of  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP ( $P2_1$ , 175 Å × 144 Å × 177 Å,  $\beta = 114^{\circ}$ , Table S1) is distinct from all other known *A. vinelandii* WT-MoFeP crystals (most common  $P2_1$  unit cell: ~ 80 Å × 130 Å × 107 Å,  $\beta \approx 110^{\circ}$ ). In WT-MoFeP crystals, the  $\beta$ K400 side chains are well packed against negatively charged residues ( $\alpha$ E368 and  $\alpha$ E373) from symmetry related molecules (Figure S4A). In contrast, the  $\beta$ E400 side chains in the  $\beta$ K400E variant extend into solvent-filled spaces (the only ones of

appreciable size) in the lattice, indicating that this single, chargereversal mutation has drastically influenced protein packing interactions (Figure S4B).

Effects of  $\beta$ 399–401 MoFeP Mutations on EDC-Mediated FeP Cross-Linking. We examined the ability of  $\beta$ N399E,  $\beta$ K400E, and  $\beta$ R401E-MoFeP variants to form EDCcross-linked complexes with FeP using previously reported conditions.<sup>26</sup> As expected, no cross-linked complex was detected in the case of the  $\beta$ K400E mutation, since this mutation eliminates the amine functionality required for the specific  $\beta$ K400- $\gamma$ E112 isopeptide linkage (Figure 2B and S5). We observed the same effect when saturating concentrations of MgATP or MgADP (10 mM) were included in the cross-linking reaction (Figure S6). Howard and colleagues established  $\beta$ K400- $\gamma$ E112 as the location of cross-linking through Edman degradation,<sup>27</sup> but they did not demonstrate that this was still the site of cross-linking in the presence of nucleotides. Since  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP does not cross-link the FeP even in the presence of nucleotides, our findings provide unambiguous evidence that ADP- and ATP-bound FeP also form the specific  $\beta$ K400- $\gamma$ E112 linkage with MoFeP.

Interestingly, the extent of FeP-MoFeP cross-linking was also diminished to negligible levels with  $\beta$ N399E- and  $\beta$ R401E-MoFeP variants (Figure 2B and S5). Two possible scenarios may account for this observation. In one scenario, the charge-reversal mutations on MoFeP destabilize the H-bonding and electrostatic interactions between the two proteins. This abolishes the formation of the DG1 conformation and/or drastically curtails its lifetime, preventing EDC-mediated cross-link formation. In the other scenario, the ternary complex does form, but  $\beta$ N399E and  $\beta$ R401E mutations perturb the local structure within the complex, disrupting the stereospecific alignment of the carboxylate, carbodiimide, and amine groups needed for the selective isopeptide bond formation. Given that we do not see any level of cross-linking, we strongly favor the first scenario, i.e., mutations in the  $\beta$ 399–401 patch on the MoFeP surface have significant effects on interactions with FeP in solution.

Effects of the  $\beta$ 399–401 MoFeP Mutations on Catalytic Efficiency and FeP-MoFeP Interactions. To investigate the functional effects of the MoFeP  $\beta$ 399–401 surface mutations, we assayed each mutant's ability to reduce the alternative substrate acetylene  $(C_2H_2)$  to ethylene  $(C_2H_4)$  by 2 e<sup>-</sup>/2 H<sup>+</sup> and the ability of  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP to reduce H<sup>+</sup> to H<sub>2</sub> by 2 e<sup>-</sup> under standard conditions (Figure 3A, S7 and Table 1). The maximal specific activities of the mutants were 65-80% of that of WT-MoFeP. In addition, half-maximal specific activities were reached at higher FeP concentrations in all cases (Figure 3A and S7), suggesting weaker interactions between the two proteins. The greatest effect was seen for the  $\beta$ K400E mutation (~65% of WT) and can be attributed to the more extensive interactions of  $\beta$ K400 side chain with FeP in the *DG1* complex compared to  $\beta$ N399 and  $\beta$ R401 (Figure 1, inset). Given that these mutations are very far from any structural component of MoFeP or FeP with obvious functional importance (i.e., for ET, ATP hydrolysis, ATP/ET coupling, substrate diffusion, catalysis), their sizable effects on catalytic activity are noteworthy.

Salt-inhibition experiments highlight the electrostatic nature of the functional effects of mutations. As previously documented, <sup>36–38</sup> the specific activity of WT-MoFeP steadily decreases as the NaCl concentration in the assay solution is raised, <sup>39</sup> with a IC<sub>50,NaCl</sub> equal to  $250 \pm 15$  mM. For the  $\beta$ K400E mutant (which we used for the remaining investigations due to its largest effects), IC<sub>50,NaCl</sub> is reduced to  $130 \pm 30$  mM, indicating



**Figure 3.** (A) Nitrogenase  $C_2H_2$  reduction assays for WT- and  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP. The solid lines represent the Thorneley–Lowe simulation. (B) Inhibition of nitrogenase by NaCl. The solid lines represent the best-fit curves to an IC<sub>50</sub> equation. (C) Chelation of the [4Fe:4S] cluster from ATP-bound FeP by 2,2-bipyridine in absence of MoFeP or in the presence of WT-MoFeP or  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP. The solid lines represent the single exponential fits of the data. Data for control experiments lacking FeP or ATP are shown in Figure S8. (D) ATP activation of WT-and  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP. The lines represent the Michaelis–Menten fits of the data. A normalized version of Figure 3D can be found in Figure S9.

Table 1. Maximum Specific Activities for WT-,  $\beta$ K400E-,  $\beta$ N399E-, and  $\beta$ R410E-MoFeP

	$\begin{array}{c} C_2 H_2 \ reduction \\ (nmol \ mg^{-1} \ min^{-1}) \end{array}$	$H^+$ reduction (nmol mg <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> )
WT-MoFeP	$2550 \pm 220$	$2890 \pm 70$
βK400E- MoFeP	$1820 \pm 120$	$1930 \pm 220$
βN399E- MoFeP	$2060 \pm 130$	not determined
βR401E- MoFeP	$1960 \pm 210$	not determined

increased sensitivity of FeP-MoFeP interactions due to this mutation.

If the reduced specific activity of  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP is indeed a consequence of destabilized interactions with FeP, we would expect a similar effect in other assays that depend on FeP-MoFeP interactions. One such assay is the MgATP-dependent chelation of Fe(II) from the [4Fe:4S] cluster of FeP by 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy). It has been shown that MgATP binding to free FeP renders its [4Fe:4S] cluster susceptible to chelation by bipy (likely as a result of MgATP-induced conformational changes). The rate of Fe chelation is slowed in the presence of MoFeP, as it protects the [4Fe:4S] cluster through docking interactions.<sup>38,41</sup> It is likely that this protection is achieved only within the ATPactivated DG2 state, which provides the only docking arrangement between FeP and MoFeP wherein the [4Fe:4S] is protected from the solvent (Figure 1). As shown in Figure 3C, the apparent first-order rate constant of Fe chelation from FeP in the presence of ATP decreases from  $9.9 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  to  $2.5 \pm$  $0.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  upon addition of WT-MoFeP, but only to  $5.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  in the case of  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP under the same conditions.<sup>42</sup> No significant Fe chelation is observed in the absence of MgATP, as expected (Figure S8). The observation that  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP does not protect FeP from chelation as effectively as WT-MoFeP suggests that interactions between FeP and MoFeP are weakened by the  $\beta$ K400E mutation. Since the Fechelation assay is conducted under turnover conditions, this finding further supports the proposal that the interactions between FeP and the  $\beta$ 399–401 MoFeP surface patch (and by inference, DG1) are populated en route to the formation of the ATP-activated DG2.

To examine the effects of the  $\beta$ K400E mutation on ATPdependent substrate activation, we measured C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> reduction activity at a constant FeP:MoFeP ratio as a function of ATP concentration. As shown in Figures 3D and S9, the ATPdependence of the reaction shifts to higher ATP concentrations for the  $\beta$ K400E mutant compared to WT-MoFeP. The resulting traces can be fit to the Michaelis-Menten equation with the assumption that ATP is the substrate in this reaction. At saturating ATP concentrations, the maximal activity  $(V_{max})$  of  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP is lower than that of WT-MoFeP, reflective of the mutant's lower specific activity. The apparent  $K_{\rm m}$  of 1.04  $\pm$ 0.23 mM for  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP is nearly double that of 0.65  $\pm$  0.11 mM for WT-MoFeP. These apparent  $K_m$ 's are not true Michaelis constants due to the multidimensionality of the nitrogenase reaction coordinate. Nevertheless, they provide a measure of how readily the activated DG2 conformation assembles from FeP and MoFeP in the presence of ATP, suggesting again that  $\beta$ K400E mutation has a detrimental effect on formation of the activated DG2 complex.

Effects of the  $\beta$ K400E Mutation on ATP Hydrolysis and ET Coupling. Given the complex nature of FeP-MoFeP interactions and the ensuing redox processes, the decreased activities of MoFeP variants could stem from the perturbation of any of multiple steps during turnover, i.e., protein–protein association and dissociation, ATP binding and hydrolysis, ET, or substrate reduction. One way to probe the functional importance of a mutation in nitrogenase is to evaluate the degree of coupling between ATP hydrolysis and electrons transferred to substrate. If a mutation does not directly influence the reaction coordinates for these processes, the ratio for ATP/e<sup>-</sup> coupling should approach a value of 2 (eq 1). On the other hand, if the mutation does affect ATP/e<sup>-</sup> coupling, the ratio increases, indicating that not every ATP hydrolysis event promotes productive ET. For example, mutation of residues that are directly involved in protein–protein interactions in the activated *DG2* complex (FeP:  $\gamma$ R140Q,<sup>38</sup>  $\gamma$ K143Q,<sup>38</sup>  $\gamma$ R100H<sup>37</sup> or MoFeP:  $\alpha$ F125A,  $\alpha$ F125A<sup>43</sup>) or are implicated in substrate reduction (MoFeP:  $\alpha$ H195Q<sup>44</sup>) lead to significantly elevated ATP/e<sup>-</sup> ratios ranging from 3 to as high as 31. We observed that the ATP/e<sup>-</sup> coupling ratios for  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP are indistinguishable from those of WT-MoFeP (Table 2), and consistent with ATP/e<sup>-</sup> values

 Table 2. Ratio of ATP Hydrolyzed Per Electron Transferred

 to Product with Sodium Dithionite as FeP Reductant

	ratio MoFeP:FeP		
	4:1	1:1	1:10
WT-MoFeP	$2.5 \pm 0.5$	$2.7 \pm 0.4$	$2.2 \pm 0.5$
$\beta$ K400E-MoFeP	$2.4 \pm 0.4$	$2.2 \pm 0.2$	$2.1 \pm 0.4$

measured by others for WT-MoFeP under the given experimental conditions (30 °C, 13 mM Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>). These results suggest that the  $\beta$ K400E mutation does not perturb the activated *DG2* complex or any ATP hydrolysis/redox-related process taking place within this complex. Thus, it must influence a step that either precedes or follows ATP/e<sup>-</sup> coupling, such as the formation of FeP-MoFeP encounter complexes.

Lastly, we measured the kinetics of irreversible inhibition of nitrogenase catalysis by aluminum tetrafluoride (AlF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>). During ATP hydrolysis, AlF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> replaces the leaving  $\gamma$ -phosphate group and locks the FeP-MoFeP complex into a kinetically stable *DG2* conformation ( $\tau_{1/2} > 21$  h) as an ADP·AlF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> adduct.<sup>4</sup> AlF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> has been shown to act as a slow inhibitor of nitrogenase catalysis where the rate-limiting step is the binding of AlF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> to the activated *DG2* state (rather than the formation of *DG2*).<sup>45–47</sup> Time-course experiments for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> evolution in the presence of AlF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> indicate that the initial enzymatic velocity of the  $\beta$ K400E variant is lower than that for WT-MoFeP (32 ± 5 nmol min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), in accordance with the lower specific activity of  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP (Figure 4). In contrast, the



**Figure 4.**  $AlF_4^-$  inhibition of nitrogenase turnover, where the data are fit to a slow inhibitor model (solid lines).

rates of AlF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> inhibition are indistinguishable for both species  $(0.16 \pm 0.03 \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ and } 0.18 \pm 0.02 \text{ min}^{-1}$ , respectively), which is consistent with the expectation that the  $\beta$ K400E mutation should not alter the rate-limiting step for AlF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> inhibition.

Effects of the  $\beta$ K400E Mutation on the FeP-MoFeP Association Rates. The results thus far indicate that a) the role of the  $\beta$ 399–401 patch on the MoFeP surface is to promote association with FeP to form functionally important encounter complexes, and b) the crystallographically observed *DG1* complex likely is one such encounter complex populated before commitment to the ET-competent *DG2* state. We thus set out to determine the effective association rate between FeP and MoFeP through dilution experiments, which were originally reported by Thorneley and Lowe (for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (*Kp*) nitrogenase).<sup>48</sup> In dilution experiments, nitrogenase catalytic activity is measured at progressively lower FeP and MoFeP concentrations at constant FeP:MoFeP protein ratios. At sufficiently low concentrations, protein–protein association becomes the rate-limiting step, which is manifested in a sigmoidal concentration-dependent activity profile at concentrations below the protein–protein dissociation constant (*K<sub>d</sub>*) and a linear increase in activity at concentrations above the *K<sub>d</sub>*. Dilution experiments with WT-and  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP show that the deleterious functional effect of the  $\beta$ K400E mutation becomes highly pronounced at low protein concentrations (Figure 5, S10 and Table S2). No



**Figure 5.** Catalytic activity under dilution conditions for WT- and  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP. The solid lines represent the Thorneley–Lowe simulations used to determine  $k_1$ .

measurable activity is observed with the  $\beta$ K400E variant until a MoFeP concentration of 0.06  $\mu$ M is reached; at 0.10  $\mu$ M at  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP displays only 25% of WT-activity, and at 0.50  $\mu$ M, 50% of WT-activity (Table S2). Quantitative estimates for apparent FeP-MoFeP association rate constants  $(k_1)$  were obtained for both variants by simulating best-fit curves based on a Thorneley–Lowe model for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> reduction (Schemes 1, S1 and S2).<sup>32,49</sup> The simulations were carried out by numerically solving the Thorneley-Lowe model for all reactants using an adapted version of a Mathematica script originally written by Watt and colleagues (see SI for the script and Table S3 for the parameters used  $\rm \tilde{)}.^{32}$  In these simulations,  $k_1$  was the only variable parameter. While the  $\beta$ K400E mutation may affect both the association rate constant,  $k_1$ , and dissociation rate constant,  $k_{-1}$ for the FeP-MoFeP encounter complexes, previous work indicates that  $k_1$  is the dominating factor in dilution experiment simulations and that altering  $k_{-1}$  has little influence.<sup>32,50</sup> We confirmed this observation, since altering  $k_{-1}$  by 1 order of magnitude above or below its published value causes little change in the simulation (Figure S10). Best estimates for  $k_1$  were obtained using a manual grid search procedure that yielded  $k_1$  =  $2.5 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for WT-MoFeP and  $0.5 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP, indicating a 5-fold difference in FeP-MoFeP association kinetics due to a single mutation (Figure S10 contains representative intervals for  $k_1$  used in the grid search). We then



Figure 6. Model for the initial steps of the complex formation between FeP and MoFeP. Initial encounter of FeP with MoFeP results in loosely bound encounter complexes, which can either dissociate into the component proteins or form the metastable *DG1* conformation and subsequently the longlived *DG2* conformation. The rate constants,  $k_{\text{encounterr}}$   $k_{\text{steering}}$  and  $k_{\text{transition}}$  and their respective reverse rate constants represent the microscopic rates for the forward and reverse reaction, where the magnitude of the individual microscopic rates is not known. The  $\beta$ 399–401 patch is represented by the "+" signs and residue  $\gamma$ E112 and surrounding residues by a "–" sign.

used the  $k_1$  values to simulate the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> reduction experiments displayed in Figure 3A, which were conducted under significantly higher FeP/MoFeP ratios than the dilution experiments. The simulated curves describe the experimental turnover data well, validating our derived  $k_1$  values.

## DISCUSSION

Guided by previous cross-linking studies and the crystal structure of the DG1 complex, we examined the functional significance of the positively charged  $\beta$ 399–401 patch on the MoFeP surface. In summary, our results indicate the following:

- (1) FeP interaction with the  $\beta$ 399–401 patch of MoFeP is largely electrostatic in nature, as evidenced by the NaCl inhibition experiments and the structure of the *DG1* complex itself.
- (2) Interactions involving the MoFeP  $\beta$ 399–401 patch and FeP are functionally important for nitrogenase catalysis and are populated along a productive reaction pathway. They serve to increase the association rate constant between FeP and MoFeP.
- (3) Interactions between the MoFeP  $\beta$ 399–401 patch and FeP are not operative during ATP/ET coupling processes that take place within the activated complex.

All of these features are characteristic of dynamic encounter complexes, which have been implicated in many interprotein interactions involved in ET,<sup>1,6,51</sup> as well as those that are regulated by nucleotide binding and hydrolysis.<sup>52,53</sup>

Our data, coupled with the available structural information, suggest a new picture regarding the initial steps of nitrogenase turnover (Figure 6). Formation of the *DG1* conformation is likely a multistep process, based on a comparison with encounter complexes in the literature.<sup>2,54</sup> We postulate that FeP and MoFeP first form an ensemble of loosely bound, electrostatically guided complexes centered at the  $\beta$ 399–401 patch, with the corresponding association and dissociation rates,  $k_{\text{encounter}}$  and  $k_{-\text{encounter}}$ . These complexes are steered to the metastable and crystallographically tractable *DG1* complex via the forward rate constant  $k_{\text{steering}}$ .<sup>54,55</sup> The *DG1* complex then transitions to the activated *DG2* conformation via  $k_{\text{transition}}$  in a step that likely involves a 2D conformational sampling of the MoFeP surface, as described in other encounter complexes.<sup>1,5,54</sup> It is important to note here that in the Thorneley–Lowe scheme, the association rate constant  $k_1$  corresponds to the direct formation of the

activated ATP-FeP-MoFeP complex (*DG2*) from ATP-bound FeP and MoFeP; encounter complexes/intermediates are not invoked. Thus, the rate constant,  $k_1$ , that we derive from our experiments is a composite of all forward rates  $k_{\text{encounter}}$ ,  $k_{\text{steering}}$ and  $k_{\text{transition}}$ . Further experiments are required to determine whether mutations in *DG1* influence only  $k_{\text{encounter}}$  or also  $k_{\text{steering}}$ and  $k_{\text{transition}}$  and their reverse rates. Once in the activated *DG2* state, the complex is committed to ATP-coupled ET and the ensuing catalytic reactions. Compared to the *DG1*-centered encounter complexes, *DG2* represents a relatively long-lived docking geometry, in which FeP is locked in place but still structurally dynamic,<sup>16,17</sup> which may allow conformationally gated ET events within MoFeP to occur.<sup>18–21</sup> Finally, after ATP hydrolysis and the release of phosphates, the ADP-bound complex relaxes from the *DG2* into a fluid ensemble of *DG3*-like, dissociative states (Figure 1; not shown in Figure 6).

Article

The DG1 conformation stands out when compared to other encounter complexes described in literature. Its unusually large protein-protein interaction surface<sup>16</sup> is more typical of activated complexes than transient encounter complexes. This feature likely renders the DG1 conformation long-lived enough to be crystallized, which is uncommon for most encounter complexes.<sup>7,56</sup> The fact that the small  $\beta$ 399–401 patch in Avnitrogenase is functionally important could not have been predicted from sequence analysis, as this patch is not conserved among various nitrogenases.<sup>57</sup> However, since encounter complexes by definition do not require geometric specificity for their formation, there is also no requirement for the strict conservation of specific surface residues so long as complementary/attractive surfaces are present. Characterizing nitrogenases from different organisms may yield interesting insights as to whether FeP binding to MoFeP via  $\beta$ -subunit encounter complexes is part of a consensus nitrogenase mechanism, or a special case that serves to enhance turnover in the exceptionally active Av-nitrogenase.

It is plausible that  $A\nu$ -MoFeP evolved a dedicated FeP docking region to decrease the amount of surface area FeP needs to sample before attaining the activated DG2 conformation. This interpretation is consistent with recent data showing that encounter complexes, in certain cases, only explore a small portion of the total available protein—protein interaction surface area.<sup>7</sup> Furthermore, the transitions between the encounter complex(es) and active complex occur along preferred pathways and feature smooth conformational energy landscapes.<sup>1,58</sup> Thus, rapid FeP binding to the  $\beta$ 399–401 patch may enhance the rate

of turnover by efficient funneling of FeP to the activated DG2 conformation, thereby increasing electron flux to MoFeP. The  $\beta$ 399–401 "hotspot" may be particularly critical under in vivo conditions. The ionic strength inside bacterial cells (estimated at about 150 mM)<sup>2</sup> is considerably higher than standard assay conditions for in vitro nitrogenase experiments (typically <100 mM). Moreover, the FeP/MoFeP molar ratio in Av is approximately 1-2, <sup>59,60</sup> whereas maximum specific activity values in literature are reported for molar ratios between 20 and 40.  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP is more sensitive to high ionic strength than WT-MoFeP, as shown by NaCl inhibition experiments, and the deleterious effect of the  $\beta$ K400E mutation is most pronounced when FeP/MoFeP ratio is low (as manifested in turnover and dilution experiments). Therefore, the  $\beta$ 399–401 patch may have evolved to permit efficient turnover under in vivo conditions.

Aside from enhancing FeP-MoFeP association, the DG1 docking geometry may have potential implications for other aspects of the nitrogenase mechanism. Conformational changes during transition from DG1 to DG2, for example, may play additional roles in ET-gating or substrate binding. Furthermore, under high-flux turnover conditions, where release of ADP-bound FeP from DG3 becomes rate limiting,<sup>32</sup> FeP binding to DG1 may help displace "spent" FeP from DG3.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Reagents.** Unless otherwise indicated, all reagents were acquired from Fisher Scientific, Sigma-Aldrich, or VWR international.

**Growth Media.** *A. vinelandii* cells were grown in liquid Burke's medium (BM) containing 0.2% sucrose, 0.9 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.67 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.035 mM FeSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.002 mM Na<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 181 mM C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 10 mM Na<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> pH 7.4. For nitrogen-containing Burke's medium (BM<sup>+</sup>), NH<sub>4</sub>Cl was added to a concentration of 10 mM. Solid medium also included 20 g/L agar.

A. vinelandii Mutagenesis. Mutations coding for  $\beta$ N399E-,  $\beta$ K400E-, and  $\beta$ R401E-MoFeP were introduced into a plasmid based on the pGEMT(Easy) plasmid (Promega), which can replicate in *E. coli* but not in *A. vinelandii*. The plasmid contained the C-terminal region of *A. vinelandii* nif D, nif K, and the N-terminal region of nif T. Mutations were introduced into *E. coli* XL1 Blue using the Stratagene site directed mutagenesis kit using the following primers:

βN399E: FW: 5' TCTCTGCCACAACGGCGAGAAGCG-TTGGAAGAAGG, REV: 5' CCTTCTTCCAACGCTTCTCGCCGT-TGTGGCAGAGA.

 $\beta$ K400E: FW: 5' CCTTCTTCCAACGCTTCTCGCCGT-TGTGGCAGAGA, REV: 5' CCTTCTTCCAACGCTCGT-TGCCGTTGTGGCA.

 $\beta$ R401E: FW: 5' GCCACAACGGCAACAAGGAGTGGAA-GAAGGCGGTCGA, REV: 5' TCGACCGCCTTCTTCCAC-TCCTTGTTGCCGTTGTGGC.

In addition, a *nifK* inactivating plasmid was generated, where the entire DNA sequence coding for  $\beta$ 399–401 was deleted and a frameshift introduced immediately before the codon coding for  $\beta$ 399 using the following primers:

#### FW: 5' CTCTGCCACAACGGTGGAAGAAGGCGGTC.

REV: 5' GACCGCCTTCTTCCACCGTTGTGGCAGAG.

Genomic *A. vinelandii* mutations were generated in a two-step procedure as previously described.<sup>21</sup> First, the *nif K* inactivating plasmid was introduced into *A. vinelandii* according to a modified version of the iron starvation procedure of Page and von Tigerstrom.<sup>33,61</sup> Bacteria that underwent allelic exchange lacked a functional copy of *nif K* and were identified by their inability to grow in absence of a fixed nitrogen source. The presence of the partial *nif K* deletion was verified by sequencing the genomic DNA obtained from colony PCR (Epicentre Biotechnology Failsafe Kit). In the second step, plasmids harboring the respective mutation were introduced into the *A. vinelandii nif K* deletion strain harboring the  $\beta$ 399–401 deletion using the iron starvation method. The bacteria that underwent allelic exchange were selected by their ability to grow on nitrogen-free BM. Stability of the mutation was assured by repeated passaging on nitrogen free BM and genomic DNA sequencing (Figure S1).

 $\overline{A}$ . vinelandii Growth and Harvesting. Cells were first grown in a 100 mL BM<sup>+</sup> starter culture for 16 h, then 10 mL was transferred to a 1 L BM<sup>+</sup> starter culture for fermenter growth. Cells used for nitrogenase expression were grown in 60 L fermenter (New Brunswick Scientific) containing BM with 3 mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. The fermenter growth was initiated with 0.4 L of the 1 L starter culture. Nitrogenase activity was monitored through C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> reduction experiments (Figure S2), and cells harvested when nitrogenase activity peaked. Bacteria were harvested by concentration to approximately 3–4 L using a Pellicon 2 tangential flow membrane (Eppendorf), followed by centrifugation at 5000 rpm to obtain an approximately 80–100 g pellet.

Cell Lysis and Protein Purification. All lysis and purification procedures were conducted on a Schlenk line under Ar or inside a glovebox under 90% Ar, 10% H<sub>2</sub>. Degassed cells were twice resuspended in a buffered solution (50 mM Tris, pH 8.2, 100 mM NaCl, 40% glycerol, 5 mM sodium dithionite) and pelleted at 12000 rpm. Swollen cells were resuspended in a second buffered solution (50 mM Tris, pH 8.2, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM sodium dithionite) and lysed by rapidly shaking the cells with glass marbles. The lysate was then centrifuged at 12500 rpm. The black supernatant was loaded onto a DEAE Sepharose column and washed with 1-1.5 L of a buffered solution (50 mM Tris, pH 7.75, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM sodium dithionite). Protein was eluted via a linear gradient using a buffered solution (50 mM Tris, pH 7.75, 5 mM sodium dithionite), where the NaCl concentration was increased from 100 mM to 500 mM. MoFeP and FeP eluted at 250 mM NaCl and 325 mM NaCl, respectively. Fractions containing MoFeP and FeP were analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), pooled, and concentrated using an Amicon concentrator (Millipore). Proteins were further purified by gel filtration chromatography using a Sepharose 200 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with a buffered solution (50 mM Tris, pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM sodium dithionite). Fractions containing the respective protein were identified by SDS-PAGE, pooled, concentrated, and stored in liquid nitrogen in small aliquots.

**EDC Cross-Linking.** Cross-linking experiments with all mutants and WT-nitrogenase in the absence of nucleotides were conducted with 7.5  $\mu$ M MoFeP (1.72 mg/mL), 45  $\mu$ M FeP (2.7 mg/mL), and 12.5 mM EDC in a buffered solution containing 25 mM Hepes, pH 8, 60 mM NaCl, and 12.5 mM Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> under H<sup>+</sup> reduction conditions. The reaction was quenched by diluting a 10  $\mu$ L aliquot into 200  $\mu$ L of 200 mM NaC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Samples in the presence of nucleotides were analyzed under the same conditions. However, the protein concentrations were 5.2  $\mu$ M (1.2 mg/L) for MoFeP and 33  $\mu$ M (2 mg/mL) for FeP. The extent of cross-linking was determined by SDS-PAGE (10%), using silver staining.

**Nitrogenase Activity Assays.** All experiments were conducted, unless otherwise noted, under an Ar atmosphere in a buffered solution containing 50 mM Tris, pH 8, 60 mM NaCl, 5 mM Na<sub>2</sub>ATP, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 30 mM creatine phosphate, 0.00125 mg/mL creatine phosphokinase, and 13 mM Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The reactions were carried out in stoppered 14 mL vials at 303 K for 10 min, and they were terminated by addition of 0.3 mL glacial acetic acid. The protein concentrations were determined via Fe chelation in 6.4 M guanidine HCl by 2,2-bipyridine using an extinction coefficient of 8650 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> at 522 nm. All reported measurements represent the average of at least three independent measurements, and error bars represent one standard deviation. Data were analyzed and graphed using Graphpad Prism.

 $C_2H_2$  Reduction Activity. The MoFeP concentration in the assay was 0.2  $\mu$ M and the FeP concentrations varied between 0 and 12  $\mu$ M. Assay vials contained a final pressure of 0.072 atm  $C_2H_2$ .  $C_2H_4$  evolution was measured with an SRI 8610C gas chromatograph (GC) containing an alumina column (Alltech) and an FID detector, where 50  $\mu$ L of the headspace was injected into the GC. The  $C_2H_4$  standard was generated by filling an evacuated 250 mL flask to approximately 1 atm  $C_2H_4$  (Airgas) and weighing the contents of the flask on an analytical balance.

From this flask, 0.04 mL of gas was transferred to a sealed 24 mL glass vial to construct the standard curve.

 $H^+$  Reduction Activity. The assay was conducted with MoFeP and FeP concentrations of 0.2  $\mu$ M and 8  $\mu$ M, respectively. The duration of the assay was 15 min. H<sub>2</sub> evolution was measured with an SRI 8610C gas chromatograph (GC) containing a molecular sieves column (Alltech) and a TCD detector, where 500  $\mu$ L of the headspace was injected into the GC. A H<sub>2</sub> standard curve was generated by filling a 250 mL flask with a gas mixture containing 10% H<sub>2</sub> and 90% Ar (Praxair) and weighing the contents on an analytical balance.

*NaCl Inhibition*. NaCl inhibition was studied by measuring C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> formation at a constant protein concentration (0.2  $\mu$ M MoFeP and 2  $\mu$ M FeP) at increasing concentrations of NaCl, where the concentration of NaCl was adjusted by adding NaCl to standard reaction buffer from a stock solution. The data was fit to following IC<sub>50</sub> equation:  $\nu = \nu_{\min} + (\nu_{\max} - \nu_{\min})/(1 + 10^{(\log IC_{50}-[NaCl])*n})$ , where IC<sub>50</sub> is the NaCl concentration at which activity is half-maximal, and *n* is equal to the Hill coefficient.

*Fe Chelation*. Fe chelation was carried out in the presence of 6.25 mM 2,2-bipyridine under H<sup>+</sup> reduction conditions, in the absence of  $C_2H_2$ , and in an anaerobic quartz cuvette. The reaction progress was monitored at 520 nm, the absorption maximum of  $[Fe(bipy)_3]^{2+}$ . The protein concentrations were 3.3 and 6.7  $\mu$ M for MoFeP and FeP, respectively.

ATP Activation. The assay solutions contained 0.4  $\mu$ M MoFeP and 1.6  $\mu$ M FeP. In the assays where the concentration of MgATP is varied, the MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration was 5 mM, and the ATP concentration was adjusted by addition of ATP from a 500 mM Na<sub>2</sub>ATP stock. The concentration of MgATP was calculated using [MgATP]/([Mg<sup>2+</sup>]-[ATP]) = 5.01 × 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1.62</sup>

ATP Hydrolysis/e<sup>-</sup> Ratio Measurements. ATP was measured as released inorganic phosphate by the formation of a phosphomolybdate complex.<sup>63</sup> Productive electrons transferred were determined from the amount of  $C_2H_4$  produced. MoFeP concentrations for the 4:1, 1:1 and 1:10 MoFeP/FeP ratio measurements were 4  $\mu$ M, 1  $\mu$ M and 0.4  $\mu$ M, respectively.

 $AlF_4$  - Inhibition.  $AlF_4$  - inhibition experiments were carried out under standard turnover conditions, but at pH 7.3. The protein concentrations were 0.4  $\mu$ M MoFeP and 1.6  $\mu$ M FeP. The NaF concentration was 5 mM and the AlCl<sub>3</sub> concentration was 0.25 mM.<sup>63</sup> A slow inhibition model was used to fit the data:  $v = (v_0(1 - e^{-kx}))/k$ , where  $v_0$  is the initial rate and k is the rate of inhibition.<sup>47</sup>

Dilution Effect Measurements. Dilution experiments were carried out under standard turnover conditions, with the exception that the assay duration was 15 min. The MoFeP and FeP component ratio was held at 1:4, where the MoFeP concentration was varied from 0 to 0.5  $\mu$ M. The robustness of the regeneration solution at high protein concentrations was verified, since C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> production scaled linearly with time up to 30 min.

**Thorneley–Lowe Simulations.** WT-MoFeP and βK400E-MoFeP dilution and turnover experiments were simulated using the Thorneley-Lowe model for C2H2 reduction to determine if changes in the rate of association,  $k_1$ , could model the different activities of WT-MoFeP and  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP. C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> formation was simulated by numerically solving the Thorneley-Lowe scheme using the Mathematica script included in the Supporting Information.<sup>32</sup> The numerical solution to the Thorneley-Lowe scheme yielded the concentration of all reaction intermediates and products, including C2H4. Although most rate constants used were determined for Kp-nitrogenase (Table S3), these values are generally also appropriate for simulating Av-nitrogenase kinetics.<sup>64,65</sup> When available, rates were used for Av-nitrogenase, with the exception of  $k_{-3}$ , which was adjusted to account for the higher activity of Av-nitrogenase compared to Kp-nitrogenase, as described previously.<sup>65</sup> The simulation that best describes the data was determined using a manual grid search, where  $k_1$  was varied in small intervals (Figure \$8). In simulating turnover experiments, all rate constants are held equal between  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP and WT-MoFeP, except for the respective values of  $k_1$ , which were obtained previously from dilution experiment simulations.

**Crystallography and Data Collection.**  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP crystals were grown using the sitting drop method. A 2  $\mu$ L solution of 215  $\mu$ M (50 mg/mL)  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP in a buffered solution of 50 mM Tris, pH 8, 200 mM NaCl was mixed with 2  $\mu$ L of well solution in the drops. Crystals were grown against a 0.25 mL well solution (18% polyethylene glycol (PEG) 10 000, 600 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 5 mM Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>). Crystals were cryo-protected by soaking them in well solution containing 20% PEG 400. X-ray diffraction data were collected at SSRL beamline 12–2 at a wavelength of 0.98 Å. The structure was solved by molecular replacement with 1M1N as search model using phenix.MR and refined using phenix.refine<sup>66</sup> alongside iterative manual model building with COOT.<sup>67</sup> Images were made in Pymol (Delano Scientific). Final data collection and refinement statistics can be found in Table S1. Atomic coordinates and structure factors were deposited into the RCSB data bank under PDB ID: SCX1.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b08310.

Sequencing of genomic *A. vinelandii* DNA for all mutants, growth curves for all Av mutants, electron density maps around residue 400 for  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP, and crystal packing comparison between  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP and WT-MoFeP, EDC cross-linking gels for all mutants and WT-nitrogenase and  $\beta$ K400E-nitrogenase in the presence of nucleotides, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> as a function of FeP concentration for all mutants, chelation experiment controls, simulations of the dilution effect, detailed schemes for the nitrogenase FeP and MoFeP cycles. (PDF)

Mathematica script for Thorneley–Lowe simulations. (PDF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

**Corresponding Author** 

\*tezcan@ucsd.edu

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank G. Anderson for assisting us in optimizing procedures for measuring ethylene and phosphate concentrations, Dr. T. Spatzal for help with crystal structure refinement, Drs. P. Wilson and G. Watt for providing the Mathematica script for Thorneley–Lowe simulations. We also acknowledge J. Wagner for assistance in analyzing amino acid conservation in nitrogenase and Dr. Y. Suzuki for insightful discussions. This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health (Grant GM099813 to F.A.T.). C.P.O. was additionally supported by a USDA NIFA fellowship (Grant 2015-67012-22895). F.A.T. acknowledges the Frasch Foundation for additional support (Grant 735-HF12).

# REFERENCES

- (1) Ubbink, M. FEBS Lett. 2009, 583, 1060.
- (2) Schreiber, G.; Haran, G.; Zhou, H.-X. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 839.
- (3) Pelletier, H.; Kraut, J. Science 1992, 258, 1749.

(4) Schindelin, H.; Kisker, C.; Schlessman, J. L.; Howard, J. B.; Rees, D. C. *Nature* **1997**, 387, 370.

- (5) Tang, C.; Iwahara, J.; Clore, G. M. Nature 2006, 444, 383.
- (6) Ubbink, M. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2012, 40, 415.

(7) Bashir, Q.; Volkov, A. N.; Ullmann, G. M.; Ubbink, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 241.

(8) Jasion, V. S.; Doukov, T.; Pineda, S. H.; Li, H.; Poulos, T. L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2012, 109, 18390.

- (9) Nocek, J. M.; Knutson, A. K.; Xiong, P.; Co, N. P.; Hoffman, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 6165.
- (10) Xiong, P.; Nocek, J. M.; Vura-Weis, J.; Lockard, J. V.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Hoffman, B. M. *Science* **2010**, *330*, 1075.
- (11) Scanu, S.; Foerster, J. M.; Ullmann, G. M.; Ubbink, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 7681.
- (12) Hulsker, R.; Baranova, M. V.; Bullerjahn, G. S.; Ubbink, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1985.
- (13) Qin, L.; Kostić, N. M. Biochemistry 1993, 32, 6073.
- (14) Rees, D. C.; Tezcan, F. A.; Haynes, C. A.; Walton, M. Y.; Andrade,
- S.; Einsle, O.; Howard, J. B. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A* **2005**, *363*, 971. (15) Seefeldt, L. C.; Hoffman, B. M.; Dean, D. R. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2009**, *78*, 701.
- (16) Tezcan, F. A.; Kaiser, J. T.; Mustafi, D.; Walton, M. Y.; Howard, J. B.; Rees, D. C. *Science* **2005**, *309*, 1377.
- (17) Tezcan, F. A.; Kaiser, J. T.; Howard, J. B.; Rees, D. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 146.
- (18) Danyal, K.; Mayweather, D.; Dean, D. R.; Seefeldt, L. C.; Hoffman, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6894.
- (19) Lanzilotta, W. N.; Parker, V. D.; Seefeldt, L. C. *Biochemistry* **1998**, 37, 399.
- (20) Roth, L. E.; Nguyen, J. C.; Tezcan, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13672.
- (21) Roth, L. E.; Tezcan, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8416.
- (22) Danyal, K.; Dean, D. R.; Hoffman, B. M.; Seefeldt, L. C. Biochemistry 2011, 50, 9255.
- (23) Duval, S.; Danyal, K.; Shaw, S.; Lytle, A. K.; Dean, D. R.; Hoffman, B. M.; Antony, E.; Seefeldt, L. C. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110*,
- 16414. (24) Knowles, C. J.; Smith, L. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg. 1970,
- (24) Knowles, C. J.; Smith, L. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg. 1970, 197, 152.
- (25) Cordewener, J.; Krüse-Wolters, M.; Wassink, H.; Haaker, H.; Veeger, C. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1988**, *172*, 739.
- (26) Willing, A. H.; Georgiadis, M. M.; Rees, D. C.; Howard, J. B. J. Biol. Chem. **1989**, 265, 6596.
- (27) Willing, A.; Howard, J. B. J. Biol. Chem. 1990, 265, 6596.

(28) Schmid, B.; Einsle, O.; Chiu, H.-J.; Willing, A.; Yoshida, M.; Howard, J. B.; Rees, D. C. *Biochemistry* **2002**, *41*, 15557.

- (29) Howard, J. B.; Rees, D. C. Chem. Rev. **1996**, 96, 2965.
- (30) Lowe, D. J.; Thorneley, R. N. Biochem. J. 1984, 224, 877.
- (31) Thorneley, R. N.; Lowe, D. J. Biochem. J. 1983, 215, 393.
- (32) Wilson, P. E.; Nyborg, A. C.; Watt, G. D. Biophys. Chem. 2001, 91, 281.
- (33) Glick, B. R.; Brooks, H. E.; Pasternak, J. J. J. Bacteriol. 1985, 162, 276.
- (34) Burgess, B. K.; Jacobs, D. B.; Stiefel, E. I. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1980, 614, 196.
- (35) Einsle, O.; Tezcan, F. A.; Andrade, S. L. A.; Schmid, B.; Yoshida, M.; Howard, J. B.; Rees, D. C. *Science* **2002**, *297*, 1696.
- (36) Deits, T. L.; Howard, J. B. J. Biol. Chem. 1990, 265, 3859.

(37) Wolle, D.; Kim, C.; Dean, D.; Howard, J. B. J. Biol. Chem. 1992, 267, 3667.

(38) Seefeldt, L. C. Protein Sci. 1994, 3, 2073.

(39) NaCl inhibits nitrogenase by affecting both electrostatic FeP-MoFeP interactions and reducing the  $K_d$  of FeP for ATP. Despite the dual modes of inhibition, this experiment is a useful diagnostic to compare relative binding affinities between FeP and MoFeP mutants, as we do for WT-MoFeP and  $\beta$ K400E-MoFeP.

(40) Deits, T. L.; Howard, J. B. J. Biol. Chem. 1989, 264, 6619.

(41) Lanzilotta, W. N.; Ryle, M. J.; Seefeldt, L. C. Biochemistry 1995, 34, 10713.

(42) As reported by Howard and colleagues, chelation by bipy is actually more complex than a first-order reaction and is better described by biexponential kinetics, which has been ascribed to different conformational states of FeP. For the purposes of our experiments, we deem apparent first-order rate constants sufficient to describe the observed effects.

(43) Christiansen, J.; Chan, J. M.; Seefeldt, L. C.; Dean, D. R. J. Inorg. Biochem. 2000, 80, 195.

- (44) Kim, C. H.; Newton, W. E.; Dean, D. R. Biochemistry 1995, 34, 2798.
- (45) Renner, K. A.; Howard, J. B. Biochemistry 1996, 35, 5353.
- (46) Duyvis, M. G.; Wassink, H.; Haaker, H. FEBS Lett. 1996, 380, 233.
- (47) Morrison, J. F. Trends Biochem. Sci. 1982, 7, 102.
- (48) Thorneley, R. N. Biochem. J. 1975, 145, 391.
- (49) Lowe, D. J.; Fisher, K.; Thorneley, R. N. Biochem. J. 1990, 272,
- 621.
- (50) Thorneley, R. N.; Lowe, D. J. Biochem. J. **1984**, 224, 903.
- (51) Hope, A. B. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg. 2000, 1456, 5.
- (52) Sydor, J. R.; Engelhard, M.; Wittinghofer, A.; Goody, R. S.; Herrmann, C. *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 14292.
- (53) Kiel, C.; Selzer, T.; Shaul, Y.; Schreiber, G.; Herrmann, C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 9223.
- (54) Schreiber, G. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2002, 12, 41.
- (55) Schreiber, G.; Fersht, A. R. Biochemistry 1993, 32, 5145.
- (56) Clore, G. M.; Tang, C.; Iwahara, J. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2007, 17, 603.
- (57) Howard, J. B.; Kechris, K. J.; Rees, D. C.; Glazer, A. N. PLoS One **2013**, *8*, e72751.
- (58) Kozakov, D.; Li, K.; Hall, D. R.; Beglov, D.; Zheng, J.; Vakili, P.; Schueler-Furman, O.; Paschalidis, I. C.; Clore, G. M.; Vajda, S. *eLife* **2014**, *3*, e01370.
- (59) Jacobs, D.; Mitchell, D.; Watt, G. D. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. **1995**, 324, 317.
- (60) Klugkist, J.; Haaker, H.; Wassink, H.; Veeger, C. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1985**, *146*, 509.
- (61) Dos Santos, P. C. Methods Mol. Biol. 2011, 766, 81.
- (62) Pecoraro, V. L.; Hermes, J. D.; Cleland, W. W. *Biochemistry* **1984**, 23, 5262.
- (63) Fiske, C. H.; Subbarow, Y. J. Biol. Chem. 1925, 66, 375.
- (64) Duyvis, M. G.; Wassink, H.; Haaker, H. J. Biol. Chem. 1996, 271, 29632.
- (65) Fisher, K.; Newton, W. E.; Lowe, D. J. Biochemistry 2001, 40, 3333.

(66) Adams, P. D.; Afonine, P. V.; Bunkoczi, G.; Chen, V. B.; Davis, I. W.; Echols, N.; Headd, J. J.; Hung, L. W.; Kapral, G. J.; Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W.; McCoy, A. J.; Moriarty, N. W.; Oeffner, R.; Read, R. J.; Richardson, D. C.; Richardson, J. S.; Terwilliger, T. C.; Zwart, P. H. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. **2010**, *66*, 213.

(67) Emsley, P.; Cowtan, K. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 2004, 60, 2126.